Application of a Member of Voddar Community for Appointment as Police Sub-Inspector.

MR. K. MUNIVENKATAPPA (Minorities).—

Q.—62. Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) Whether it is a fact that one Mr. K. Narayanaswamy, a graduate of the Voddar community, had applied for the post of a Police Sub-Inspector?

(*b*) If so, why was he not selected for the place though he belonged to the backward community?

THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT (MR. K. V. ANANTARAMAN).—

A.—(*a*) One Mr. N. K. Lakshminarainswami, Voddar by caste, who was not a graduate, applied for a Probationary Sub-Inspector's post in November 1933.

(*b*) He was not found suitable.

Contribution by Government or Municipalities to certain communities in the State.

MR. A. THAMMIAH (Minorities).—

Q.—63. Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) The extent of contribution made by either Government or municipalities to the under-mentioned communities in the State either in the shape of money or property up to 1934:—

1. Brahmins;
2. Lingayets;
3. Vokkaligars;
4. Kurubars;
5. Christians;
6. Mahomedans;
7. Upparas;
8. Other Hindus?

(*b*) Whether the said contribution is being spent properly?

(*c*) Who is supervising the contribution?

(*d*) What action has Government taken when the funds have not been utilised for the definite public works or transferred to others?

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT (MR. M. VENKATANARANAPPA).—

A.—(*a*) to (*d*) The question is not clear.

Appointment of Vanhikula Kshatriyas in State Services.

MR. S. MUNIVENKATAPPA (Minorities).—

Q.—69. Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) How many Vanhikula Kshatriyas applied to the Central Recruitment Board according to the new rules (up to 1932, 1933, 1934) and to what classes do they belong?

(*b*) Of these, in which departments have their names been registered and for what appointments?

THE GENERAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT (MR. H. V. RAMASVAMI).—

A.—(*a*) The new rules were not in existence during this period.

(*b*) The question does not arise.

MR. S. MUNIVENKATAPPA.—Will the Central Recruitment Board register the names of applicants who have applied subsequent to the issue of the new rules?

THE FIRST MEMBER (*Rajamantrapravina Diwan Bahadur* K. MATTHAN).—Yes.

Acquisition of a land in Belavatha Village for the formation of a Burial Ground.

MR. S. I. MUMTAZ (Minorities).—

Q.—73. Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(*a*) Whether the Mahadevi Muslims of the Mysore City had presented a petition to the Dewan Saheb on 14th October 1926, praying for the grant of a burial ground for the community at Mysore?

(*b*) Whether in Government Order No. L. 5000-02—Ml. 13-28-2, dated 29th January 1929, the Government had accorded sanction for the acquisition of 1 acre and 30 guntas of Survey No. 288 of Belavatha Village for the burial ground for the use of the community in the City of Mysore?

(*c*) Whether the Sub-Division Officer addressed the President of the Mysore City Municipality on 4th April 1929 to take charge of the land on 12th April 1929?

(*d*) Whether another petition was addressed to the Dewan Saheb on

7th October 1933 praying for early orders in the matter ?

(*e*) Whether an endorsement from the Chief Secretary, L. 2916, dated 2nd—3rd November 1933, was issued to the effect that the letter has been referred to the President, City Municipal Council, Mysore, for disposal ?

(*f*) Whether the President, City Municipal Council, Mysore, was requested on 28th September 1934, by a petition, praying to hand over the Survey No. 288 of Belavatha Village, and if so, was the land handed over ?

(*g*) Whether there is any possibility of handing over the land to the community people early ?

THE CHIEF SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT (MR. K. V. ANANTARAMAN).—

A.—(*a*) Yes.

(*b*) Yes.

(*c*) Yes.

(*d*) Yes.

(*e*) Yes.

(*f*) The request was made to the President in a petition dated 30th July 1934. Though the land was acquired, the community refused to take possession of it on the ground of its being rocky and action was therefore taken to acquire 2 acres of land out of S. No. 76 of Devanur village with the sanction of Government. An award has been passed in the case and the revenue authorities have been requested to deliver possession of the land to the municipality for being handed over to the Mahadevi Muslims.

(*g*) Yes.

Income and Expenditure of Village Panchayets in Bangalore District.

MESSRS. S. KARIAPPA and M. LINGE GOWDA (Kankanhalli Taluk); R. DASAPPA (Devanhalli Taluk); T. MADIAH GOWDA and V. VENKATAPPA (Channapatna Taluk); A. M. VENKATASAMIAH (Closepet Municipality); VEERE GOWDA (Dodballapur Taluk); GURUSAMI GOWDA (Hoskote Taluk); M. B. GURULINGIAH (Closepet Taluk); S. T. MALLASETTAPPA (Nelamangala Taluk); M. S. PUTTARAMASETTI and H. GANGAPPA (Magadi Taluk).—

Q.—1. Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) What is the amount of taxes collected by the village panchayets of the Bangalore District during the period from 1932 to January 1935 (to be given talukwar) ?

(*b*) What is the amount spent during the above period on account of—

(i) village sanitation,
(ii) name boards,
(iii) tree guards,
(iv) approach roads,
(v) village halls,
(vi) drinking water wells, and
(vii) other items ?

(To be given talukwar).

THE SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT, DEVELOPMENT DEPARTMENT (MR. M. VENKATANARANAPPA).—

A.—(*a*) and (*b*) Statement appended (*vide* Appendix).

MR. BAGAMANE DEVA GOWDA.—Are the Government aware that name boards in several cases have either dropped down or faded and require better attention than at present ?

MR. M. VENKATANARANAPPA.—Notice.

Disposal and Sub-Division of Darkhast Lands.

MESSRS. S. KARIAPPA and M. LINGE GOWDA (Kankanhalli Taluk); R. DASAPPA (Devanhalli Taluk); T. MADIAH GOWDA and V. VENKATAPPA (Channapatna Taluk); A. M. VENKATASAMIAH (Closepet Municipality); VEERE GOWDA (Dodballapur Taluk); GURUSAMI GOWDA (Hoskote Taluk); M. B. GURULINGIAH (Closepet Taluk); S. T. MALLASETTAPPA (Nelamangala Taluk); M. S. PUTTARAMSETTI and H. GANGAPPA (Magadi Taluk).—

Q.—2. Will the Government be pleased to state :—

(*a*) What is the total number of blocks of land which have been disposed of under *darkhast* rules in the Bangalore District during the periods noted below and which have not yet been sub-divided :—

From 1932 to 1934, 1930 to 1932, 1928 to 1930, 1926 to 1928, 1924 to 1926, and prior to 1924 (to be given talukwar) ?